

MONITORED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME HANDBOOK.

Institution of
**MECHANICAL
ENGINEERS**

Version 2.0

Improving the world through engineering

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The **Monitored Professional Development Scheme (MPDS) Handbook** is the Institution of Mechanical Engineers' definitive reference for all stakeholders involved in the delivery, support, and assessment of structured professional development for engineers.

This handbook consolidates the full scope of MPDS policy, expectations, and best practice into a single, accessible resource. It is intended for use by:

- **Developing Engineers (DEs)** – to understand their responsibilities and navigate their journey to professional registration.
- **Mentors and Delegate Mentors (DMs)** – to guide and support DEs in line with UK-SPEC competences, with registered Mentors retaining responsibility for formal review and assessment.
- **Scheme Administrators (SAs)** – to manage scheme operations, reporting, and compliance.
- **Scheme Owners** – to provide strategic oversight and ensure alignment with organisational goals.
- **Industry Liaison Officers (ILOs)** and **Line Managers** – to support scheme integration and professional culture.
- **IMechE Accreditation Assessors** – to evaluate scheme quality and effectiveness.

The handbook outlines:

- The structure and principles of MPDS
- Roles and responsibilities of all stakeholders
- Accreditation and reaccreditation processes
- Reporting and assessment expectations
- Good practice examples and implementation tools

It is both a compliance document and a developmental guide – supporting organisations in delivering high-quality engineering development and helping engineers achieve Chartered or Incorporated status.

Readers are encouraged to use this handbook actively: to plan, reflect, improve, and embed a culture of professionalism and continuous learning.

FOREWORD

Welcome to the *Monitored Professional Development Scheme (MPDS) Handbook* – a definitive resource from the Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) designed to support the professional growth of the next generation of engineers.

This handbook brings together the full breadth of MPDS knowledge, expectations, and best practice into a single, accessible reference. Whether you are a Developing Engineer, Mentor, Scheme Administrator, Scheme Owner, or Accreditation Assessor, this document is your companion throughout the MPDS journey.

MPDS is more than a framework – it is a commitment to excellence. It reflects our shared belief that professional development should be structured, supported, and purposeful. It empowers engineers to grow with confidence, organisations to nurture talent with clarity, and the profession to uphold the highest standards of competence and integrity.

This handbook is built on the principles of partnership, adaptability, and continuous improvement. It is designed not only to inform but to inspire – to help every stakeholder play their part in shaping engineers who are not only technically capable, but also ethically grounded, professionally engaged, and ready to lead.

We invite you to use this document actively: to plan, to reflect, to challenge, and to improve. In doing so, you contribute to a culture where engineering development is not just supported—but celebrated.

Together, we are improving the world through engineering.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
FOREWORD	2
CONTENTS	3
1 INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 Purpose of MPDS	7
1.2 The UK-SPEC Framework	7
1.3 Partnership Approach	8
1.4 Outcomes and Expectations	8
2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	10
2.1 Overview	10
2.2 Scheme Owner	10
2.2.1 The Strategic Anchor of MPDS	10
2.2.2 Responsibilities and Strategic Functions	10
2.2.3 Authority and Influence	11
2.2.4 Engagement with the Scheme Community	11
2.2.5 Supporting a Culture of Professionalism	11
2.2.6 The Impact of an Effective Scheme Owner	12
2.3 Scheme Administrator	12
2.3.1 The Central Role of the Scheme Administrator	12
2.3.2 Responsibilities and Core Functions	12
2.3.3 Supporting Development and Culture	13
2.3.4 Tools, Training, and Resources	13
2.3.5 Challenges and Best Practice	14
2.3.6 The Impact of a Strong Scheme Administrator	14
2.4 Developing Engineers	14
2.4.1 The Central Role of the DE	14
2.4.2 Responsibilities and Expectations	14
2.4.3 Development Pathway and Structure	16
2.4.4 Support and Resources	17
2.4.5 Ownership and Professionalism	17
2.4.6 Recognition and Progression	17
2.4.7 Developing Engineers undertaking Degree Apprenticeships	18
2.4.8 Developing Engineers Requiring Further learning	18
2.5 Mentors	18
2.5.1 The Importance of Mentoring in MPDS	18
2.5.2 Who Can Be a Mentor?	19
2.5.3 Mentor-to-DE Ratios	19
2.5.4 The Mentoring Relationship	20
2.5.5 Mentor Training and Support	20

2.5.6 Benefits of Mentoring	21
2.5.7 Common Pitfalls and How to Avoid Them	21
2.6 Delegate Mentors	21
2.6.1 The Role of Delegate Mentors in Supporting Development	21
2.6.2 Responsibilities and Scope	21
2.6.3 Eligibility and Appointment	22
2.6.4 Ratios and Capacity	22
2.6.5 Training and Development	22
2.6.6 Benefits of the DM Role	22
2.6.7 Best Practice and Integration	23
2.7 Line Managers	23
2.7.1 The Operational Enablers of Development	23
2.7.2 Responsibilities and Core Contributions	23
2.7.3 Creating a Supportive Environment	24
2.7.4 Alignment with Organisational Goals	24
2.7.5 Challenges and Best Practice	25
2.7.6 The Impact of an Engaged Line Manager	25
2.8 Industry Liaison Officers	25
2.8.1 The Bridge Between IMechE and Industry	25
2.8.2 Responsibilities and Strategic Value	25
2.8.3 Positioning Within the Organisation	26
2.8.4 Enhancing Scheme Visibility and Impact	26
2.8.5 Supporting a Culture of Professionalism	26
2.8.6 Best Practice and Integration	27
2.8.7 Further Support and Contact	27
2.9 Summary of Stakeholder Responsibilities	27
3 SCHEME STRUCTURE	28
3.1 Purposeful Design	28
3.2 The Development Journey	28
3.3 Core Components	28
3.3.1 Steering Committee Governance	29
3.4 Monitoring and Review	29
3.5 Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement	30
3.6 Flexibility and Adaptability	30
4 ACCREDITATION AND REACCREDITATION	32
4.1 Purpose and Importance	32
4.2 Preparing for Accreditation	32
4.3 The Accreditation Visit	33
4.4 Joint and International Accreditation Visits	34
4.5 After the Visit	34
4.6 Accreditation Criteria	35

4.6.1 Accreditation Criteria	35
4.6.2 Conditions for accreditation	35
4.7 Fees and Cancellation Policy	36
4.8 Appeals Process	36
4.8.1 Purpose and Context	36
4.8.2 Grounds for Appeal	36
4.8.3 Submitting an Appeal	36
4.8.4 The Appeals Process	37
4.8.5 Final Outcome and Confidentiality	37
4.9 Promoting Accredited Schemes	37
5 DE REGISTRATION AND SCHEME ADMINISTRATION	39
5.1 The Foundation of a Professional Journey	39
5.2 The Role of the SA	39
5.3 Registering a DE	39
5.3.1 Registration eligibility and membership category	39
5.3.2 Permitted registration routes	40
5.3.3 Students undertaking industrial placements or years in industry	40
5.3.4 Registration process	40
5.3.5 Effective start date of MPDS participation	41
5.3.6 Ongoing responsibility and oversight	41
5.4 Supporting Mentors and Maintaining Standards	42
5.5 Monitoring Progress and Reporting	42
5.6 Managing Previous Experience	43
5.7 Suspension, Termination, and Transfers	43
5.7.1 Suspension	43
5.7.2 Administrative suspension related to MPDS user type	44
5.7.3 Reinstatement following suspension	44
5.7.4 Termination	44
5.7.5 Transfers	44
5.8 Completion and Professional Registration	45
5.8.1 Further Learning and academic requirements	45
6 REPORTING AND ASSESSMENT	46
6.1 The Role of Reporting in MPDS	46
6.1.1 Use of AI tools in MPDS submissions	46
6.2 Quarterly Reports	47
6.3 Annual Assessments	48
6.4 Optional Submissions: Plans and Evidence	49
6.5 Mentor Review and Feedback	49
6.6 Monitoring and Quality Assurance	49

7 GOOD PRACTICE	51
7.1 Purpose of Sharing Good Practice	51
7.2 Themes in Good Practice	51
7.2.1 Mentoring Excellence	51
7.2.2 Structured Development and Planning	51
7.2.3 Reporting and Competence Tracking	51
7.2.4 Outreach and STEM Engagement	52
7.2.5 Recognition and Celebration	52
7.2.6 Scheme Management and Culture	52
7.2.7 visibility	52
7.3 Why Good Practice Matters	52
7.4 How to Use These Examples	53
8 APPENDICES	54
8.1 Purpose of the Appendices	54
8.2 Contents of the Appendices	54
8.2.1 Exemplar Scheme Handbook	54
8.2.2 Previous Experience Claim Guidelines	54
8.2.3 MPDS Toolkit for DEs and Mentors	54
8.2.4 Competence Development Template	54
8.2.5 Example Steering Committee Agenda	55
8.2.6 SA Role and Responsibilities	55
8.2.7 MPDS Administration Checklist	55
8.2.8 Multi-site Questionnaire	55
8.3 Using the Appendices	55
9 CONTACT INFORMATION	56
10 GLOSSARY	57
10.1 Terms	57
10.2 Acronyms	59

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of MPDS

The MPDS is the IMechE's flagship framework for supporting Developing Engineers (DEs) on their journey to professional registration. It provides a structured, quality-assured pathway that integrates work-based learning, mentoring, and competence-based assessment.

MPDS supports graduate engineers, Degree Apprentices, and, in defined circumstances, students undertaking industrial placements within organisations operating an accredited MPDS.

MPDS is designed to help DEs acquire the full range of competences defined by the UK Standard for Professional Engineering Competence (UK-SPEC), as set by the Engineering Council. It provides a clear, supported route to becoming a Chartered Engineer (CEng) or Incorporated Engineer (IEng). Graduate entrants typically complete MPDS within three to four years, depending on their registration objective. Degree Apprentices generally follow longer development pathways and may take up to six years for IEng or eight years for CEng, reflecting the integration of workplace development and academic study. These durations are indicative, and progression through MPDS remains based on demonstrated competence rather than time served.

The scheme is built on the principle that professional development should be intentional, monitored, and aligned with both institutional standards and organisational goals. It enables employers to nurture engineering talent, benchmark development against national standards, and demonstrate a commitment to engineering excellence.

1.2 The UK-SPEC Framework

At the heart of MPDS is UK-SPEC. This standard defines the competences and commitment required for registration as a professional engineer. These are grouped into five key areas:

- A: Knowledge and understanding
- B: Design, development and solving engineering problems
- C: Responsibility, management, and leadership
- D: Communication and interpersonal skills
- E: Personal and professional commitment

MPDS enables DEs to develop and demonstrate these competences through structured work experience, formal training, and regular assessment. The scheme ensures that development is not left to chance but is planned, supported, and reviewed in a way that is transparent, consistent, and aligned with national expectations.

1.3 Partnership Approach

MPDS is delivered through a three-way partnership between the DE, their employer, and the IMechE. Each party plays a distinct and essential role:

- The **Employer** provides a structured development environment, including access to mentors, training opportunities, and a variety of work experiences. Employers commit to supporting DEs through placements, feedback, and performance reviews.
- The **DE** takes ownership of their development, engages proactively with the scheme, and maintains accurate records of progress. DEs are expected to reflect on their experiences, seek feedback, and work toward competence-based goals.
- The **IMechE** accredits and monitors schemes, provides training and support for Mentors and Scheme Administrators (SAs), and ensures that the scheme remains aligned with UK-SPEC. The IMechE also supports DEs in preparing for professional registration and the Professional Review Interview (PRI).

This partnership model ensures that development is collaborative, supported, and accountable. It also fosters a culture of professionalism and continuous improvement within participating organisations.

1.4 Outcomes and Expectations

The ultimate goal of MPDS is to prepare engineers for professional registration. For most DEs, this means achieving CEng status after approximately four years on the scheme, or IEng status after three years. However, MPDS is not a time-served process – progression is based on competence, not duration.

DEs are assessed against four levels of competence, which reflect increasing levels of responsibility, autonomy, and professional judgement:

- **Level 1 – Aware:** Performs activity with significant supervision & guidance. Performs basic routine tasks, little or no responsibility.
- **Level 2 – Familiar:** Performs activity in a range of contexts, supervision required in more complex circumstances. Some individual responsibility or autonomy.
- **Level 3 – Skilled:** Performs activity in some complex or non-routine contexts. Significant responsibility and autonomy – can oversee work of others.
- **Level 4 – Expert:** Performs activity in a wide range of complex or non-routine contexts. Substantial personal autonomy – can develop others within the activity.

To be considered ready for registration, a DE must demonstrate:

- At least three competences at Level 3 (Skilled)
- At least two competences at Level 2 (Familiar)

These levels are assessed through annual reviews and mentor scoring, supported by evidence from quarterly reports and development activities.

MPDS also prepares DEs for the final stage of registration – the Professional Review Interview (PRI). Through structured development, reflective reporting, and mentor support, DEs build the confidence, competence, and professionalism required to succeed at the PRI.

2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1 Overview

A successful MPDS is built on collaboration. Each stakeholder – whether strategic, operational, or developmental – plays a vital role in supporting DEs on their journey to professional registration. The following roles are not just administrative or supervisory; they are integral to creating a culture of learning, accountability, and professional growth.

2.2 Scheme Owner

2.2.1 THE STRATEGIC ANCHOR OF MPDS

The Scheme Owner is the senior figure who holds ultimate accountability for the MPDS within an organisation. Typically, a CEng in a senior engineering leadership role, the Scheme Owner is not only the scheme's sponsor but also its strategic architect and advocate. Their influence is critical in ensuring that the scheme is not just operational but thriving – aligned with both IMechE standards and organisational goals.

A Scheme Owner's commitment is often the clearest indicator of a scheme's health. Their visibility, authority, and engagement set the tone for how professional development is valued across the business.

2.2.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND STRATEGIC FUNCTIONS

The Scheme Owner's role is broad and high impact. It encompasses leadership, governance, advocacy, and resource management. Key responsibilities include:

- **Strategic Oversight:** Ensuring that the MPDS is aligned with the organisation's engineering strategy, talent development goals, and succession planning. The Scheme Owner ensures that the scheme is not siloed but integrated into the wider business.
- **Resource Allocation:** Securing and allocating the financial, human, and operational resources necessary to support the scheme. This includes funding for training, mentor development, and DE placements.
- **Governance and Compliance:** Chairing or actively participating in the MPDS Steering Committee, reviewing scheme key performance indicators (KPIs), and ensuring that the scheme remains compliant with IMechE accreditation requirements.
- **Scheme Promotion:** Acting as a visible champion of the scheme within the organisation. This includes promoting the value of professional registration, recognising DE and mentor achievements, and advocating for the scheme at senior leadership level.

- **Accreditation Leadership:** Leading the organisation's preparation for accreditation and reaccreditation visits. The Scheme Owner is expected to meet with accreditation panels, demonstrate strategic commitment, and respond to feedback or conditions.
- **Mentor and DE Engagement:** Supporting the recruitment and development of mentors, and engaging directly with DEs through annual reviews, informal check-ins, or recognition events.

2.2.3 AUTHORITY AND INFLUENCE

The Scheme Owner must have the authority to influence line management, training functions, and operational planning. They are often the senior line manager of those involved in the scheme – Mentors, DEs, placement supervisors, and the SA – or have clear delegated authority from those who are.

This authority is essential for:

- Ensuring that DEs are given meaningful placements and development opportunities.
- Supporting the resolution of competence gaps or reporting issues.
- Driving improvements to the scheme based on feedback or performance data.

2.2.4 ENGAGEMENT WITH THE SCHEME COMMUNITY

A strong Scheme Owner is not distant or symbolic – they are engaged and accessible. Best practice includes:

- Attending DE induction sessions to welcome new participants and set expectations.
- Participating in Steering Committee meetings and annual scheme reviews.
- Meeting with DEs annually to discuss their development and experience.
- Supporting mentor forums and encouraging cross-functional collaboration.

In high-performing schemes, the Scheme Owner is known to DEs and Mentors alike – not just as a figurehead, but as an active supporter of their development.

2.2.5 SUPPORTING A CULTURE OF PROFESSIONALISM

The Scheme Owner plays a key role in embedding a culture of professionalism and continuous improvement. This includes:

- Promoting the importance of UK-SPEC and professional registration across the organisation.
- Encouraging DE involvement in STEM outreach, community engagement, and professional networks.
- Recognising and celebrating DE and mentor achievements through internal communications, awards, or events.
- Ensuring that the scheme is reviewed regularly and integrated into the organisation's quality management systems (e.g. ISO 9001).

2.2.6 THE IMPACT OF AN EFFECTIVE SCHEME OWNER

When the Scheme Owner is engaged, empowered, and proactive, the scheme benefits from:

- Stronger alignment with business goals and engineering strategy.
- Higher DE engagement and retention.
- More consistent mentor support and development.
- Greater success in achieving and maintaining accreditation.
- A culture where professional development is valued and visible.

In short, the Scheme Owner is the keystone of MPDS. Their leadership ensures that the scheme is not just a process, but a pathway to excellence – for individuals, teams, and the organisation as a whole.

2.3 Scheme Administrator

2.3.1 THE CENTRAL ROLE OF THE SCHEME ADMINISTRATOR

The SA is the operational cornerstone of a successful MPDS. While mentors guide individual development and Scheme Owners provide strategic oversight, it is the SA who ensures the scheme functions day-to-day with consistency, compliance, and care. Often based in HR, Learning and Development, or Engineering Support, the SA is the scheme's organiser, communicator, and quality controller.

A well-supported SA is not only a record-keeper but a proactive enabler of professional development. They are often the first point of contact for DEs and Mentors, and the key liaison with the IMechE. Their influence extends across the scheme's lifecycle – from onboarding and reporting to accreditation and celebration.

2.3.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND CORE FUNCTIONS

The SA's responsibilities are broad and require a blend of administrative precision, interpersonal skill, and strategic awareness. Key duties include:

- **Registration and Onboarding:** Ensuring all DEs are appropriately registered with the IMechE and that their academic qualifications are verified. This includes managing the MPDS registration form, confirming mentor assignments, and recording any approved previous experience. See [Appendix 8.2.6](#) for a full breakdown of SA responsibilities.
- **Mentor Coordination:** Maintaining an up-to-date register of Mentors and Delegate Mentors, verifying their professional registration status, and ensuring they complete mandatory training every three years. The SA may also facilitate initial meetings between DEs and Mentors.
- **Monitoring and Reporting:** Tracking the submission and approval of quarterly reports and annual assessments. The SA ensures that DEs and Mentors meet reporting deadlines and intervenes when delays occur, arranging remedial meetings or notifying the IMechE if necessary.
- **Scheme Health and Compliance:** Maintaining scheme KPIs, such as reporting timeliness and mentor training compliance. The SA ensures that

MPDS Tools records are accurate and aligned with company systems, and that the scheme remains audit ready.

- **Accreditation Support:** Preparing documentation for accreditation and reaccreditation visits, coordinating with IMechE staff, and ensuring that all stakeholders are briefed and available. The SA plays a key role in demonstrating the scheme's effectiveness and responsiveness to feedback.
- **Communication and Liaison:** Acting as the main point of contact between the company and the IMechE. The SA manages queries, escalates issues, and ensures that all parties are informed and engaged.
- **Recognition and Engagement:** Supporting the celebration of DE achievements, such as professional registration, through internal communications, events, or awards. The SA may also coordinate DE and mentor forums and encourage participation in STEM outreach and community engagement.
- **Training and Development:** Ensuring the Scheme Administrator has completed appropriate MPDS training, particularly when new to the role. This supports consistent scheme delivery and is a condition of accreditation where coordination or compliance issues have been identified. *See also [Section 4.6.2](#) for how this requirement may be applied as a condition during accreditation.*

2.3.3 SUPPORTING DEVELOPMENT AND CULTURE

Beyond administration, the SA helps shape the culture of the scheme. They are often the first to notice when a DE is struggling or when a Mentor needs support, and initiate raising the issue with the Scheme Owner to ensure appropriate action is taken. They may facilitate peer networks, organise training sessions, or initiate scheme improvements based on feedback.

In high-performing schemes, the SA is included in mentor training to gain a deeper understanding of the development process. They may also participate in regional or national SA networks to share best practice and stay informed of changes to MPDS policy or tools.

2.3.4 TOOLS, TRAINING, AND RESOURCES

The SA is expected to be proficient in using MPDS Tools and to support others in doing so. The Institution provides access to a range of resources, including:

- A training webinar (accessible via the 'MPDS Tools for Scheme Administrators')
- Useful documents and templates (available via the IMechE website)

The SA should also maintain a checklist for each DE to ensure that all key milestones are met and that records are complete and compliant, with their Initial Professional Development (IPD) and competence progression being actively monitored.

For detailed guidance, visit the [website](#). If you require access or support, please contact ipd@imeche.org.

2.3.5 CHALLENGES AND BEST PRACTICE

The SA role can be demanding, particularly in large or multi-site schemes. Common challenges include:

- Managing reporting delays or mentor availability
- Ensuring consistency across departments or locations
- Supporting DEs through periods of suspension or transfer

Best practice includes:

- Holding regular check-ins with DEs and Mentors, and the Scheme Owner to ensure alignment, support, and responsiveness across all levels of scheme delivery.
- Using dashboards or spreadsheets to track progress
- Participating in Steering Committee meetings and scheme reviews
- Maintaining a list of all professionally registered engineers in the company to support mentor recruitment

2.3.6 THE IMPACT OF A STRONG SCHEME ADMINISTRATOR

A capable and committed SA can transform a scheme from functional to exceptional. They ensure that DEs are supported, Mentors are engaged, and the scheme is continuously improving. Their work underpins the credibility of the scheme and contributes directly to successful professional registration outcomes.

2.4 Developing Engineers

2.4.1 THE CENTRAL ROLE OF THE DE

DEs are the primary participants in the MPDS. They are not passive recipients of training but active agents in their own professional journey. The success of MPDS ultimately depends on the engagement, motivation, and ownership demonstrated by each DE.

A well-supported DE is one who understands the purpose of MPDS, is committed to achieving professional registration, and is proactive in seeking out opportunities for growth. The scheme is designed to provide structure and support, but it is the DE who must drive their development forward.

2.4.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND EXPECTATIONS

DEs are expected to take full responsibility for their professional development. This includes:

- **Engaging with the Scheme:** Registering with the Institution (typically as an Associate Member), completing the MPDS registration form, and beginning to use MPDS Tools within the first three months of joining the scheme.
- **Planning Development:** Collaborating with their Mentor to create a personalised training plan that maps UK-SPEC competences to placements,

projects, and training activities. This plan should be reviewed and updated regularly.

- **Reporting Progress:** Submitting quarterly reports and annual assessments via MPDS Tools. These reports should be reflective, evidence-based, and aligned with UK-SPEC. DEs are expected to meet submission deadlines and respond to Mentor feedback.
- **Seeking Feedback:** Engaging in regular meetings with their Mentor (at least quarterly) to review progress, discuss challenges, and plan future development. DEs should be open to feedback and willing to act on it.
- **Participating in Placements:** Completing a series of structured placements or assignments that provide exposure to a broad range of engineering functions. DEs should prepare for each placement by identifying expected learning outcomes and reflecting on achievements upon completion.
- **Demonstrating Competence:** Using tools such as the Competence Development Template to track progress against UK-SPEC and identify gaps. DEs should take ownership of addressing these gaps through targeted development activities.
- **Preparing for Registration:** Working towards achieving the required competence levels, including a minimum of three competences at Level 3 and two at Level 2, and preparing for the PRI. This includes selecting and presenting evidence, writing a professional review report, and participating in a mock interview where available.

Reminder

DEs are expected to take ownership of their development by submitting reports on time, meeting regularly with mentors, and proactively addressing competence gaps.

The outline below reflects a typical graduate entrant pathway. Alternative development routes, such as Degree Apprenticeships or student placements, follow different timelines as set out later in this handbook.

Year	Key Actions	Registration Pathway
Year 1	Register with IMechE, complete MPDS form, meet mentor, submit Q1–Q3 reports, complete Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
Year 2	Continue Q1–Q3 reports, Annual Assessment, review training plan with mentor	IEng & CEng
Year 3	Submit Q2 report (Q1/Q3 optional), complete the Annual Assessment, confirm readiness with the Mentor, and begin preparing for or apply for professional registration where the required competence has been demonstrated.	IEng & CEng
Year 4	Submit Q2 report (Q1/Q3 optional), Annual Assessment, confirm readiness with Mentor, apply for PRI	CEng

A DE enrolled on MPDS cannot act as a Mentor, DM, or SA under any circumstances.

- **Mentors** must be professionally registered (CEng or IEng).
- **DMs** may be experienced engineers but cannot be DEs.
- **SAs** must be independent of DE responsibilities to ensure impartiality and compliance.

2.4.3 DEVELOPMENT PATHWAY AND STRUCTURE

DEs using MPDS may enter the scheme through different routes. While DEs typically join MPDS following graduation, the scheme may also be used by Degree Apprentices and by students undertaking industrial placements within organisations operating an accredited MPDS.

Regardless of entry route, all DEs are required to achieve the same UK-SPEC competence standards before applying for professional registration. The expected outcomes of MPDS do not vary by entry route, although the structure and duration of development may differ.

Graduate entrants typically complete MPDS within three to four years, depending on their registration objective. Degree Apprentices generally require longer development periods because they develop and evidence competence alongside structured academic study. **In all cases, progression through MPDS is based on competence rather than time served.** A DE should apply for professional registration when they and their Mentor are satisfied that the required competence has been demonstrated through sufficient and appropriate evidence.

The maximum reporting duration for DEs using MPDS Tools varies according to registration objective and development pathway. The maximum reporting duration refers to active time spent submitting reports and assessments; periods of suspension (e.g. parental leave, sabbaticals) do not count toward this limit.

The typical structure usually includes:

- Years 1–2: Three quarterly reports and one annual assessment per year. DEs are expected to explore a variety of roles and functions, building a broad foundation of experience.
- Years 3–4: At least one quarterly report (typically Q2) and one annual assessment per year. DEs begin to specialise, take on greater responsibility, and prepare for registration.
- Standard reporting durations apply to most graduate entrants. Extended reporting durations for DEs undertaking Degree Apprenticeships are set out in Sections [2.4.7](#) and [6.2](#).

Throughout the scheme, DEs may participate in:

- Technical and personal skills training
- Cross-functional projects
- STEM outreach and community engagement
- Graduate forums and peer networks

2.4.4 SUPPORT AND RESOURCES

DEs are supported by a network of mentors, SAs, line managers, and peers. They should:

- Attend induction sessions to understand the scheme structure and expectations
- Use the Scheme Handbook and MPDS Tools to guide their development
- Participate in DE forums to share experiences and learn from others. In larger organisations, these forums can provide valuable opportunities for peer support, knowledge sharing, and discussion of common professional development challenges.
- Seek support from the SA if issues arise

In high-performing schemes, DEs also benefit from:

- A “buddy” system with previous DEs
- Access to mock PRIs and professional review preparation sessions
- Opportunities to meet with the Scheme Owner or senior leaders

2.4.5 OWNERSHIP AND PROFESSIONALISM

The most successful DEs are those who take ownership of their development. This means:

- Being proactive in identifying and pursuing development opportunities
- Reflecting critically on experiences and learning from challenges
- Demonstrating professionalism in all aspects of work and reporting
- Committing to continuous improvement and lifelong learning

DEs should recognise that technical expertise alone is not sufficient. Professional registration requires a holistic set of competences, including leadership, communication, and ethical responsibility.

2.4.6 RECOGNITION AND PROGRESSION

As DEs progress through the scheme, their achievements should be recognised. This may include:

- Internal awards or recognition events
- Inclusion in company newsletters or communications
- Opportunities to support the development of other engineers, contribute to scheme improvement activities, or participate in professional development initiatives.

Upon successful completion of MPDS and achievement of professional registration, DEs transition from learners to leaders – ready to contribute to the profession and support the next generation of engineers.

2.4.7 DEVELOPING ENGINEERS UNDERTAKING DEGREE APPRENTICESHIPS

DEs undertaking Degree Apprenticeships use MPDS alongside structured academic study and employment. MPDS provides a recognised framework through which Degree Apprentices can plan, record, and evidence their professional development against the UK-SPEC competences.

While the competence standards and assessment expectations under MPDS are the same for all DEs, the structure of a Degree Apprenticeship means that opportunities to develop and demonstrate increasing levels of responsibility, autonomy, and professional judgement may emerge over a longer period.

Degree Apprentices will generally require longer on MPDS to achieve equivalent outcomes to graduate entrants. This reflects the integration of workplace development and academic study rather than any reduction in professional standards.

Degree Apprentices may take up to:

- **six years when working towards IEng registration; or**
- **eight years when working towards CEng registration.**

These are maximum reporting durations rather than time-served requirements. Progression through MPDS remains competence-based, and a Degree Apprentice may complete earlier where the required competence has been demonstrated through sufficient and appropriate evidence.

Registration requirements and responsibilities for recording the correct MPDS user type are set out in [Section 5.3](#) Suspension, reinstatement, and transfer arrangements are set out in [Section 5.7](#), and the reporting structure for Degree Apprentices is provided in [Section 6.2](#).

2.4.8 DEVELOPING ENGINEERS REQUIRING FURTHER LEARNING

Some DEs may be required to undertake Further Learning to meet the academic requirements for professional registration. This is separate from MPDS participation and relates to academic eligibility rather than competence development.

Further Learning can typically be completed alongside MPDS. However, DEs should be aware that meeting MPDS competence requirements does not in itself guarantee that academic requirements have been met. DEs are not expected to seek formal assessment of academic eligibility during MPDS unless they have a specific concern. *Further information on Further Learning is provided in [Section 5.8.1](#) and defined within the [Glossary](#).*

2.5 Mentors

2.5.1 THE IMPORTANCE OF MENTORING IN MPDS

Mentoring is at the heart of the MPDS. It is more than a procedural requirement – it is a relationship built on trust, guidance, and professional growth. A well-supported DE benefits not only from structured feedback but also from the wisdom, experience, and encouragement of a mentor who understands the journey to professional registration.

Mentors play a pivotal role in shaping the professional identity of DEs. They help interpret the UK-SPEC competences, provide developmental feedback, and ensure that the DE's experience is aligned with the expectations of the IMechE and the engineering profession.

2.5.2 WHO CAN BE A MENTOR?

MPDS mentors must be professionally registered engineers – either Chartered (CEng) or Incorporated (IEng) – with a sound understanding of UK-SPEC and the MPDS process. An IEng registrant may mentor a DE working towards IEng or CEng registration. Where an IEng registrant is mentoring a DE working towards CEng registration, the DE will require a CEng sponsor at the point of professional registration.

In addition to registered mentors, organisations may appoint Delegate Mentors (DM) to support DEs, particularly in geographically dispersed teams or where mentor capacity is limited. DMs are experienced engineers who may not be professionally registered but are familiar with UK-SPEC and the MPDS process. They cannot approve reports but can provide valuable feedback and day-to-day support.

DEs are not eligible to act as mentors or DMs under MPDS. Mentors must be professionally registered (CEng or IEng), and DMs must be experienced engineers independent of DE responsibilities.

2.5.3 MENTOR-TO-DE RATIOS

To ensure the quality and consistency of mentoring, a Mentor should normally mentor no more than two DEs directly. In exceptional circumstances, this may be increased to three DEs for a limited period.

Organisations may appoint DMs to provide day-to-day support to DEs. Each DM may support no more than two DEs. Where DMs are used, the registered Mentor retains overall responsibility for the mentoring arrangements and must maintain sufficient oversight of the DEs supported through each DM.

The number of DMs a Mentor may support depends on the number of DEs the Mentor already mentors directly, as shown below.

DEs mentored directly	Maximum DMs supported	Maximum DEs supported through DMs	Maximum total DEs under the Mentor's oversight
0	4	8	8
1	2	4	5
2	1	2	4
3	0	0	3

The maximum of three directly mentored DEs is an exceptional and temporary arrangement. A Mentor with three direct DEs must not also support DMs.

These ratios are intended to ensure that the registered Mentor has sufficient capacity to maintain appropriate oversight, engage with DMs, review DE progress, and fulfil the Mentor's formal responsibilities under MPDS.

2.5.4 THE MENTORING RELATIONSHIP

Mentoring is a multifaceted role that includes:

- **Manager of the Relationship:** Setting expectations, maintaining regular contact, and ensuring the DE is placed in meaningful assignments.
- **Interpreter:** Helping the DE understand the organisation's culture, the MPDS framework, and the path to professional registration.
- **Coach:** Encouraging the DE to stretch themselves, take initiative, and reflect on their development.
- **Assessor:** Reviewing quarterly reports and annual assessments, providing constructive feedback, and scoring competences.
- **Counsellor:** Supporting the DE through challenges, helping them build confidence, and fostering a commitment to lifelong learning.

Mentors are expected to meet with their DEs regularly – typically quarterly – to review progress, discuss objectives, and plan future development. These meetings should be structured, reflective, and forward-looking.

2.5.5 MENTOR TRAINING AND SUPPORT

Initial mentor training is mandatory for all MPDS mentors, with refresher training required every three years. Training is available through regional workshops, in-company sessions, online modules, and peer-to-peer learning. Mentors are responsible for recording their training in the MPDS/SRS tools, and SAs should maintain up-to-date records for accreditation purposes. Mentor training records are reviewed during accreditation visits and form an important part of demonstrating that the scheme continues to meet MPDS requirements.

In addition to formal training, many mentors benefit from attending mentor forums, regional events, and peer-learning opportunities where experiences can be shared and good practice discussed. These activities can help improve consistency of assessment and strengthen mentoring networks across organisations.

Approved mentor training formats include:

Training Format	Description	Access
Online LMS Module	Self-paced UK-SPEC training	learn.imeche.org
Full-day Seminar	In-depth, peer-based training (regional or online)	Mentoring for MPDS
In-house Workshop	Delivered by IMechE consultant	Via mdm@imeche.org
2-hour Briefing	Delivered by Membership Development Manager	Via mdm@imeche.org

Although mentor training is mandatory only for registered MPDS Mentors, DMs, Line Managers, SAs and Scheme Owners may also benefit from participating. This can help build a shared understanding of MPDS, UK-SPEC competences, and the respective responsibilities of those involved in supporting DE development.

2.5.6 BENEFITS OF MENTORING

Mentoring is a powerful tool for both personal and organisational development. For mentors, it offers opportunities for CPD, cross-functional collaboration, and professional recognition. For organisations, it supports talent development, succession planning, and a culture of continuous improvement. For DEs, it provides a structured, supportive environment in which to grow into confident, competent professional engineers.

2.5.7 COMMON PITFALLS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM

Without clear objectives and regular engagement, mentoring can lose focus. Overly directive mentoring may stifle initiative, while lack of structure can lead to missed development opportunities. Mentors should avoid becoming gatekeepers or champions at the expense of objectivity. Instead, they should foster independence, encourage critical thinking, and maintain a balanced, developmental approach.

2.6 Delegate Mentors

2.6.1 THE ROLE OF DELEGATE MENTORS IN SUPPORTING DEVELOPMENT

DMs are a vital extension of the mentoring framework within the MPDS. While they do not replace the formally registered mentor, they provide essential day-to-day support, particularly in large, multi-site, or resource-constrained organisations. Their presence ensures that DEs remain engaged, guided, and supported – especially when their registered Mentor is not co-located or readily available.

DMs are often experienced engineers who, while not necessarily professionally registered, possess the technical knowledge, interpersonal skills, and familiarity with UK-SPEC required to support a DE's development. Their contribution is especially valuable in maintaining continuity, accessibility, and responsiveness within the mentoring relationship.

2.6.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND SCOPE

DMs are not authorised to approve reports or assessments, but their role is nonetheless substantial. Their responsibilities include:

- **Providing Local Support:** Acting as the first point of contact for DEs on a day-to-day basis. This includes answering questions, offering guidance, and helping DEs reflect on their experiences.
- **Facilitating Development:** Supporting the DE in identifying learning opportunities, preparing for placements, and interpreting feedback from line managers or mentors.
- **Monitoring Engagement:** Helping to ensure that DEs remain on track with their development plans and reporting schedules. DMs may prompt DEs to prepare reports, attend mentor meetings, or reflect on recent experiences.
- **Liaising with Mentors:** Maintaining regular communication with the registered mentor to ensure alignment and consistency. DMs may provide informal feedback to the mentor on the DE's progress or flag any concerns.

- **Participating in Forums:** Engaging in mentor forums, training sessions, or scheme meetings where appropriate. This helps ensure that DMs are aligned with the scheme's expectations and can contribute to a consistent mentoring culture.

2.6.3 ELIGIBILITY AND APPOINTMENT

DMs are typically:

- Experienced engineers who are familiar with the MPDS process and UK-SPEC.
- Individuals working toward professional registration themselves.
- Engineers based in the same location or department as the DE, providing proximity and accessibility.

The appointment of a DM should be agreed upon by the SA and the registered mentor. DMs must be registered with the Institution and linked appropriately to the DE's MPDS Tools record.

2.6.4 RATIOS AND CAPACITY

To maintain quality and manageability, the IMechE provides clear guidance on mentor-to-DE ratios when DMs are involved:

- A registered mentor with no direct DEs may support up to four DMs.
- Each DM may support no more than two DEs.
- Where a mentor has their own DEs in addition to supporting DMs, the total number of DEs supported must be adjusted accordingly.

This structure ensures that all DEs receive adequate attention and that mentors are not overextended.

2.6.5 TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT

While DMs are not required to complete formal mentor training, it is strongly recommended. Training helps DMs:

- Understand the MPDS framework and UK-SPEC competences.
- Provide consistent and constructive feedback.
- Support DEs in preparing for professional registration.

Training may be delivered through in-house sessions, online modules, or peer mentoring. SAs should encourage DMs to participate in training and maintain records of their involvement.

2.6.6 BENEFITS OF THE DM ROLE

The DM role offers significant benefits to all parties:

- **For DEs:** Increased accessibility to support, more frequent feedback, and a stronger sense of connection to the scheme.
- **For Mentors:** Reduced pressure and improved coverage, especially in geographically dispersed teams.

- **For DMs:** A valuable development opportunity, enhancing their mentoring skills, deepening their understanding of UK-SPEC, and preparing them for future registration as mentors.
- **For the Organisation:** Greater resilience and flexibility in scheme delivery, improved DE engagement, and a stronger mentoring culture.

2.6.7 BEST PRACTICE AND INTEGRATION

To maximise the impact of DMs, schemes should:

- Clearly define the DM role and communicate it to all stakeholders.
- Ensure DMs are introduced to DEs early and included in induction processes.
- Encourage regular three-way communication between DEs, DMs, and mentors.
- Include DMs in scheme forums, training, and recognition activities.

In high-performing schemes, DMs are not seen as secondary or substitute figures – they are recognised as integral contributors to the DE’s development journey.

2.7 Line Managers

2.7.1 THE OPERATIONAL ENABLERS OF DEVELOPMENT

Line Managers are the bridge between strategic development goals and day-to-day engineering practice. While mentors guide DEs through the professional standards and SAs ensure the scheme runs smoothly, it is Line Managers who provide the real-world context in which DEs apply their learning, develop their competences, and grow into professional engineers.

A Line Manager’s role in MPDS is not incidental – it is essential. They are responsible for creating the conditions in which DEs can thrive: assigning meaningful work, setting clear expectations, and providing feedback that supports both technical and professional growth.

2.7.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND CORE CONTRIBUTIONS

Line Managers contribute to MPDS in several key ways:

- **Providing Developmental Work:** Assigning DEs to projects, placements, or roles that are aligned with their training plan and mapped to UK-SPEC competences. This includes ensuring that DEs are exposed to a variety of functions such as design, manufacturing, project management, and quality assurance.
- **Setting Objectives:** Collaborating with DEs and mentors to define clear, challenging, and achievable objectives for each placement or assignment. These objectives should support both technical learning and the development of broader professional skills.
- **Offering Feedback:** Providing regular, constructive feedback on performance. This may be through informal conversations, structured

reviews, or formal performance appraisals. Feedback should be specific, developmental, and aligned with the DE's competence goals.

- **Supporting Reflection:** Encouraging DEs to reflect on their experiences, identify learning outcomes, and consider how their work contributes to their overall development. Line Managers can help DEs see the bigger picture and connect day-to-day tasks to long-term goals.
- **Collaborating with Mentors:** Maintaining open communication with the DE's mentor to ensure that work assignments are supporting competence development. Line Managers should be aware of the DE's progress and any areas where additional support or exposure is needed.
- **Participating in Reviews:** Attending placement reviews, contributing to performance assessments, and supporting the DE's preparation for the PRI. In some schemes, Line Managers may also be involved in mock PRIs or Steering Committee discussions.

2.7.3 CREATING A SUPPORTIVE ENVIRONMENT

Line Managers play a critical role in shaping the DE's experience of the workplace. A supportive environment includes:

- **Access to Resources:** Ensuring that DEs have the tools, information, and support they need to succeed in their roles.
- **Time for Development:** Recognising that professional development is part of the DE's role – not an add-on. This includes allowing time for training, reporting, and mentor meetings.
- **Encouragement and Recognition:** Celebrating DE achievements, encouraging participation in STEM outreach or professional activities, and reinforcing the value of professional registration.

In some organisations, Line Managers also attend mentor training. This can help them develop a better understanding of the MPDS framework, UK-SPEC competences, and the support DEs require throughout their development.

In high-performing schemes, Line Managers understand their contribution to MPDS and actively support DE development and progression towards professional registration.

2.7.4 ALIGNMENT WITH ORGANISATIONAL GOALS

Line Managers are uniquely positioned to align the DE's development with the organisation's strategic objectives. This includes:

- Assigning DEs to projects that are high-impact and strategically relevant.
- Encouraging DEs to contribute to innovation, process improvement, or cross-functional initiatives.
- Supporting DEs in understanding how their work fits into the broader business context.

This alignment not only enhances the DE's learning but also increases their engagement and sense of purpose.

2.7.5 CHALLENGES AND BEST PRACTICE

Common challenges for Line Managers include balancing operational demands with development needs, managing DE expectations, and ensuring consistency across departments or locations.

Best practice includes:

- Holding regular one-to-one meetings with DEs.
- Participating in mentor and DE forums to share insights and align expectations.
- Using structured placement plans and feedback templates.
- Collaborating with the SA to monitor progress and address issues early.

2.7.6 THE IMPACT OF AN ENGAGED LINE MANAGER

When Line Managers are engaged and informed, DEs benefit from:

- Richer, more varied development experiences.
- Stronger alignment between work and competence development.
- Greater confidence and readiness for professional registration.
- A more positive and productive workplace experience.

Line Managers are not just supervisors – they are developers of talent, enablers of growth, and key contributors to the success of MPDS.

2.8 Industry Liaison Officers

2.8.1 THE BRIDGE BETWEEN IMECHE AND INDUSTRY

Industry Liaison Officer (ILOs) serve as vital connectors between the organisation and the wider professional engineering community. While not a mandatory role in every scheme, where appointed, ILOs play a strategic and communicative function that enhances the visibility, alignment, and effectiveness of the MPDS.

An effective ILO ensures that the organisation remains informed, engaged, and responsive to developments in professional standards, registration processes, and institutional expectations. They help translate external guidance into internal action and ensure that the organisation's voice is heard within the Institution.

2.8.2 RESPONSIBILITIES AND STRATEGIC VALUE

The ILO's role is multifaceted and may vary depending on the size and structure of the organisation. Core responsibilities typically include:

- **Institutional Engagement:** Acting as the primary point of contact between the organisation and the IMechE or other Professional Engineering Institutions (PEIs). This includes receiving updates, disseminating information, and coordinating responses to consultations or policy changes.
- **Scheme Advocacy:** Promoting the value of MPDS and professional registration within the organisation. ILOs may present at internal events,

support recruitment campaigns, or brief senior leaders on the benefits of accreditation.

- **Feedback and Representation:** Gathering feedback from DEs, mentors, and SAs and relaying it to the Institution. ILOs may also represent the organisation in external forums, working groups, or regional networks.
- **Accreditation Support:** Assisting with the preparation and coordination of accreditation and reaccreditation visits. This includes ensuring that documentation is complete, stakeholders are briefed, and the organisation is well-represented.
- **Professional Development Promotion:** Encouraging participation in STEM outreach, CPD activities, and IMechE events. ILOs may also support DEs and mentors in accessing resources, training, or networking opportunities.

2.8.3 POSITIONING WITHIN THE ORGANISATION

The ILO role is often held by a senior engineer, HR professional, or SA with a strong understanding of both the organisation's development strategy and the expectations of the IMechE. In some cases, the ILO may also be the Scheme Owner or a member of the Steering Committee.

Regardless of their formal title, ILOs must have the credibility, communication skills, and institutional knowledge to influence both internal and external stakeholders.

2.8.4 ENHANCING SCHEME VISIBILITY AND IMPACT

A proactive ILO can significantly enhance the visibility and impact of MPDS within the organisation. This includes:

- Ensuring that professional development is recognised in performance reviews, promotion criteria, and talent development plans.
- Promoting success stories, such as DEs achieving registration or mentors receiving recognition.
- Facilitating cross-functional collaboration and knowledge sharing between departments, sites, or business units.

In larger organisations, the ILO may coordinate a network of local champions or site-based contacts to ensure consistent engagement across the business.

2.8.5 SUPPORTING A CULTURE OF PROFESSIONALISM

ILOs contribute to a culture where professional development is not just supported but celebrated. They help embed UK-SPEC into the language of the organisation and ensure that DEs and mentors feel connected to a broader professional community.

This cultural alignment supports:

- Higher DE engagement and retention
- Greater mentor participation and satisfaction
- Stronger alignment with accreditation standards

- Enhanced organisational reputation within the engineering profession

2.8.6 BEST PRACTICE AND INTEGRATION

To maximise their impact, ILOs should:

- Maintain regular contact with IMechE Membership Development Managers (MDMs)
- Attend regional or national ILO forums or briefings
- Collaborate closely with the SO, SA, and mentors
- Ensure that institutional updates are shared promptly and clearly
- Advocate for the scheme at all levels of the organisation

In high-performing schemes, the ILO is a visible, respected figure who champions professional development, connects people and ideas, and ensures that the organisation remains at the forefront of engineering excellence.

2.8.7 FURTHER SUPPORT AND CONTACT

For further guidance on the role of ILOs, or to connect with your local MDM, please contact the IMechE Membership Development team at mdm@imeche.org. They can provide tailored advice, resources, and support to help you maximise the impact of your MPDS and professional development initiatives.

2.9 Summary of Stakeholder Responsibilities

Role	Key Responsibilities
Scheme Owner	Strategic oversight, resource allocation, scheme integrity
Scheme Administrator	DE/mentor registration, reporting oversight, liaison with IMechE
Mentor	Guide DEs, review reports, assess competence, attend training
Delegate Mentor	Local support, developmental feedback, liaison with the registered Mentor
Developing Engineer	Submit reports, arrange meetings, own development
Line Manager	Provide opportunities, feedback, support development
Industry Liaison Officer	Communicate between company and PEIs, share updates

3 SCHEME STRUCTURE

3.1 Purposeful Design

A MPDS is not merely a collection of placements or training activities – it is a deliberate, structured framework designed to develop professional engineers. It provides an engineered environment in which DEs can grow, reflect, and ultimately demonstrate the competences required for professional registration.

The scheme must be intentional in its design, inclusive in its delivery, and rigorous in its monitoring. It should reflect the organisation's size, sector, and engineering disciplines, while remaining aligned with the UK-SPEC. A purposeful structure ensures that development is not left to chance but is planned, supported, and continuously improved.

At its core, MPDS is a partnership between the DE, the employer, and the IMechE. It transforms individual experiences into a coherent journey toward competence and registration.

3.2 The Development Journey

A well-structured MPDS guides DEs through a progressive journey of professional growth. This typically begins with a comprehensive induction, followed by a series of structured placements or assignments that expose the DE to a wide range of engineering functions – such as design, manufacturing, project management, research and development, quality assurance, and supply chain operations.

In the early stages, DEs are encouraged to explore and build a broad foundation. As they progress, they begin to specialise, take on greater responsibility, and prepare for professional registration. By the final year, most DEs will be in a substantive role where they are expected to operate with increasing autonomy and accountability.

Throughout this journey, DEs are supported by a mentor, a SA, and a wider network of line managers, training staff, and peers. The scheme evolves with the DE, responding to their development needs, performance feedback, and emerging opportunities.

3.3 Core Components

Every accredited MPDS must include the following core components:

- **Structured Induction:** An introduction to the organisation, its engineering functions, and the MPDS framework, including company values, business strategy, UK-SPEC, and development expectations.
- **Training Plan:** A personalised plan mapping UK-SPEC competences to placements, projects, and training activities. Developed collaboratively and reviewed regularly.

- **Placements and Assignments:** Purposeful, varied roles (typically 4–6 months) across departments, with defined learning outcomes and supervisor feedback.
- **Formal Training:** Technical and personal development courses (e.g. communication, leadership, ethics, health and safety) aligned with the DE's plan.
- **Mentoring:** Regular engagement with a professionally registered mentor who provides structured feedback, guidance, and assessment.
- **Reporting and Review:** Quarterly reports and annual assessments submitted via MPDS Tools, supporting reflection and mentor scoring.
- **Governance and Oversight:** A Steering Committee or equivalent body overseeing scheme performance, compliance, and continuous improvement.

3.3.1 STEERING COMMITTEE GOVERNANCE

Many successful MPDS schemes are supported by a Steering Committee or an equivalent governance group. The Steering Committee provides oversight of scheme performance and helps ensure that professional development remains aligned with organisational objectives, accreditation requirements, and the needs of DEs.

Membership may vary according to the size and structure of the organisation but will normally include the Scheme Owner, Scheme Administrator, Mentors and Line Managers. DE representatives and other relevant stakeholders may also participate where appropriate.

The Steering Committee provides a forum for:

- reviewing scheme performance;
- monitoring DE reporting and mentor training compliance;
- identifying and addressing development or resourcing issues;
- sharing feedback from DEs, Mentors and other stakeholders; and
- identifying opportunities for continuous improvement.

In larger organisations, or those operating across multiple sites, the Steering Committee also plays an important role in promoting a consistent approach and maintaining visibility of professional development activity across the business.

Meetings should take place at intervals appropriate to the size and needs of the scheme. Many organisations choose to meet quarterly or twice a year.

3.4 Monitoring and Review

Monitoring is a continuous process that ensures the scheme remains effective, compliant, and responsive. Key elements include:

- **Quarterly Mentor Meetings:** Structured discussions to review progress, challenges, and future objectives, supported by quarterly reports.
- **Annual Assessments:** Comprehensive reviews including mentor scoring against UK-SPEC competences, forming critical milestones.

- **Placement Reviews:** Supervisor feedback at the end of each assignment, shared with the mentor to inform development.
- **Scheme Health Checks:** Regular reviews of KPIs such as reporting timeliness, mentor training compliance, and DE progression rates.
- **Performance Integration:** Alignment with company appraisal processes to ensure consistency and relevance.

3.5 Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement

An effective MPDS is one that evolves. Quality assurance is not just about compliance – it is about learning, adapting, and striving for excellence. Best practice includes:

- **Documented Processes:** Clear, accessible documentation covering roles, responsibilities, reporting procedures, and development pathways. This may include a Scheme Handbook, training plan templates, and reporting guides.
- **Multi-site arrangements:** Organisations must ensure that the scope of the MPDS accurately reflects all locations where DEs are based, including those within the UK and internationally.

Appropriate processes should be in place to ensure that these locations are recorded and subject to consistent quality assurance.

- **Integration with Business Systems:** Alignment with the organisation's quality management systems (e.g. ISO 9001), performance appraisal processes, and talent development frameworks.
- **Feedback Loops:** Mechanisms for collecting and acting on feedback from DEs, mentors, and other stakeholders. This may include surveys, focus groups, or DE forums.
- **Mock PRIs:** Opportunities for DEs to participate in mock PRIs, supported by trained assessors or experienced mentors. These sessions build confidence and readiness for registration.
- **Recognition and Celebration:** A culture that values professional development and celebrates success. This may include internal awards, newsletters, or events recognising DE and mentor achievements.

3.6 Flexibility and Adaptability

While MPDS is a structured scheme, it is also designed to be flexible and resilient. Organisations are encouraged to tailor the scheme to their context, provided that the core principles and standards are maintained. This includes:

- Adapting placement structures to suit project-based or consultancy environments
- Supporting DEs who join mid-career or with significant prior experience
- Accommodating part-time, remote, or international placements

- Integrating MPDS with graduate development programmes or apprenticeships

A successful MPDS fosters a culture of professionalism, learning, and continuous improvement. It is a shared commitment – supported by leadership, sustained by community, and celebrated through milestones. Above all, it is a place where engineers are not only trained, but transformed into confident, competent, and committed professionals.

4 ACCREDITATION AND REACCREDITATION

4.1 Purpose and Importance

Accreditation is the IMechE's formal recognition that a company's MPDS meets the standards required to support DEs in achieving professional registration. It is a mark of quality, assurance, and commitment to structured professional development.

For companies, accreditation affirms that their scheme is well-designed, well-resourced, and aligned with the UK-SPEC. For DEs, it provides confidence that their development is being supported in a way that is recognised and respected by the profession.

Reaccreditation is the process by which an existing scheme is reviewed and renewed, typically every three years. It provides an opportunity to reflect on the scheme's performance, address any areas for improvement, and demonstrate continued alignment with IMechE expectations. Together, accreditation and reaccreditation ensure that MPDS remains a robust, consistent, and effective route to professional registration.

4.2 Preparing for Accreditation

The accreditation process begins with registration. Companies submit a formal application to the IMechE, outlining the structure, delivery, and governance of their scheme. This includes details of the scheme's objectives, locations, assessment processes, and support mechanisms for DEs and mentors. Supporting documentation – such as a Scheme Handbook, training plans, and sample DE records – should accompany the application.

Once the application is reviewed and accepted, the company enters a registration period. For reaccreditation, this period is **6 months**, reflecting the expectation that existing schemes are already operational and compliant. For **new or returning schemes**, the registration period is **12 months**, allowing sufficient time to meet pre-visit reporting requirements.

During the registration period, the organisation must demonstrate that the scheme is active and functioning effectively. This includes:

- Registering DEs on MPDS Tools
- Ensuring that at least one DE has submitted two live quarterly reports through MPDS Tools
- Confirming that mentor training records are up to date

Credited previous experience cannot be used in place of the two live quarterly reports required before an accreditation visit can take place.

Only once these conditions are met can an accreditation visit take place. For new schemes, the visit is typically conducted in person and must be arranged at least eight weeks in advance.

 Tip

Before scheduling an accreditation visit, ensure that at least one DE has submitted two live quarterly reports through MPDS Tools and that mentor training records are up to date. Credited previous experience cannot be substituted for these reports.

For existing schemes undergoing reaccreditation, the process is similar but more streamlined. The typical format for reaccreditation visits is virtual; however, the final format is determined by the IMechE following a risk review of the submitted documentation. The company must ensure that all DE records are current and that mentor training is up to date before proposing two prospective visit dates.

As part of the registration process, the Institution conducts a risk review to determine the appropriate format for the accreditation visit. This review assesses the submitted documentation against defined criteria to evaluate the scheme's readiness and complexity. Based on this assessment, the Institution will confirm whether a virtual visit is suitable or if an in-person visit is required to ensure a thorough and effective evaluation.

4.3 The Accreditation Visit

The accreditation visit is a structured, evidence-based review conducted by a panel appointed by the IMechE. The panel typically includes a member of the Professional Development Standards Committee (PDSC) and a Professional Development Consultant (PDC) – both professionally registered engineers with experience in scheme assessment.

The visit is designed to evaluate the scheme's structure, delivery, and effectiveness. It includes meetings with:

- Senior management
- SAs
- Mentors
- DEs

The panel will review documentation, observe presentations, and assess the quality of DE records and mentor feedback. They will also evaluate the scheme's alignment with UK-SPEC and its ability to support DEs in achieving professional registration.

A template agenda is provided in advance, and the company is expected to submit a formal agenda including the names and roles of all attendees. The company must also provide:

- A list of principal sites and businesses covered by the scheme
- A list of attendees and their professional qualifications
- Employee statistics (e.g. number of engineers, CEngs, IEngs, DEs)

Organisations should ensure that site listings reflect the full scope of the scheme, including any locations incorporated in line with the requirements set out in [Section 5.3.6](#).

The visit concludes with a private panel discussion and a feedback session with the company. The panel does not make the final decision on the day but provides a recommendation to the PDSC.

4.4 Joint and International Accreditation Visits

Where a company operates a scheme that supports multiple disciplines or is affiliated with more than one PEI, a joint accreditation visit may be arranged. In such cases, one institution is nominated as the lead and coordinates the visit, but each PEI makes its own independent accreditation decision.

Joint visits follow the same structure and expectations as standard visits but require additional coordination to ensure that all institutions' requirements are met.

For companies operating internationally, the accreditation process is the same, but visits may be conducted virtually or, where appropriate, in person, based on the outcome of the Institution's risk review and logistical considerations. Host companies are responsible for covering the costs of international visits, including travel and accommodation.

4.5 After the Visit

Following the visit, the panel submits a formal report to the PDSC. This report includes the panel's findings, a recommendation on accreditation, and any proposed conditions or opportunities for improvement. The PDSC reviews the report and makes the final decision.

Possible outcomes include:

- Full accreditation (typically for three years)
- Accreditation with conditions, to be addressed within a specified timeframe
- Deferred accreditation, pending further evidence or improvements

If conditions are imposed, the company must submit a plan of action and provide evidence that the issues have been addressed. Accreditation may then be granted retrospectively from the date of the visit. In some cases, a monitoring visit may be required to verify compliance.

Note

If accreditation is granted with conditions, you must submit a plan of action and evidence of resolution. Accreditation may be granted retrospectively, and a monitoring visit may be required.

4.6 Accreditation Criteria

4.6.1 ACCREDITATION CRITERIA

The IMechE uses a clear set of criteria to assess schemes. Accreditation is granted when there is strong evidence of:

- Senior management commitment and strategic alignment
- A professionally registered Scheme Owner with authority and influence
- A structured, well-documented scheme aligned with UK-SPEC
- Adequate resources for training, mentoring, and development
- A history of high-quality IPD
- Responsiveness to DE needs and organisational change

Accreditation may be granted for less than the full three-year period if there are concerns about the scheme's maturity, consistency, or compliance. Accreditation may not be granted if the scheme lacks sufficient structure, support mechanisms, or alignment with UK-SPEC expectations.

4.6.2 CONDITIONS FOR ACCREDITATION

Where a scheme meets the core criteria but presents specific areas of concern, conditions may be applied to accreditation. These conditions must be addressed within a defined timeframe before full accreditation can be confirmed or continued.

Conditions are typically applied when there is evidence of:

- **Poor timeliness of reporting**, evidenced by quarterly or annual reports submitted more than 3 months late or consistently more than 6 months late
- **Mentor training not undertaken within the previous 3 years** and not recorded in MPDS Tools
- **SA training not undertaken** or not evidenced, particularly where the SA is new to the role or where scheme coordination issues have been identified.
- **No Mentors Forum or suitable alternative** for schemes operating across three or more sites
- **No Steering Group in place** where there are more than five DEs and/or two business units involved
- **Scheme documentation is fragmented, outdated, or inaccessible**, resulting in a lack of clarity around roles, responsibilities, processes, and expectations for stakeholders.
- A combination of the following issues (or one particularly severe issue):
 - DE reporting not up to date
 - Mentor training not up to date
 - Poor coordination between DEs, mentors, and the Steering Group
 - No involvement in resolving DE issues (e.g. placements, training gaps)

- No evidence of support through years 3 to 4 of the scheme

Conditions must be specific, measurable, and time bound. The Institution will review submitted evidence to confirm resolution, which may include documentation or a follow-up monitoring visit. *For guidance on how conditions are reviewed and resolved post-visit, see [Section 4.5 – After the Visit](#).*

4.7 Fees and Cancellation Policy

The IMechE charges a fee for all accreditation and reaccreditation applications. This fee covers administrative costs and the expenses of volunteer assessors. For international visits, the host company is also responsible for travel and accommodation costs.

If a scheduled visit is cancelled or postponed within 30 days of the agreed date, a cancellation fee equal to 50% of the current application fee will be charged. If cancelled within 7 days, the full fee is payable. A separate fee may also apply if a monitoring visit is required.

For current fee information and payment arrangements, companies should contact the IMechE's IPD team at ipd@imeche.org.

4.8 Appeals Process

4.8.1 PURPOSE AND CONTEXT

While the MPDS accreditation process is designed to be transparent, rigorous, and supportive, there may be occasions where an organisation disagrees with the outcome of an accreditation or reaccreditation decision. In such cases, a formal appeals process exists to ensure that concerns are heard and addressed fairly. This process is overseen by the IMechE and is intended to uphold the integrity of the accreditation system while providing organisations with a clear route to challenge decisions they believe to be incorrect or procedurally flawed.

4.8.2 GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

Appeals are not open-ended and must be based on specific grounds. An organisation may submit an appeal if:

- New, relevant information has become available that could not reasonably have been presented during the original accreditation process.
- Factual inaccuracies in the submitted documentation were not properly considered.
- There is evidence of procedural irregularity or administrative error during the visit or decision-making process.

Appeals cannot be made simply on the basis of disagreement with the outcome.

4.8.3 SUBMITTING AN APPEAL

If an organisation wishes to appeal, the first step is to write to the IMechE within 30 days of receiving the accreditation decision. At this stage, the IMechE will seek to

clarify any misunderstandings or factual discrepancies through informal consultation with the original accreditation panel.

If the organisation remains dissatisfied, a formal appeal may be lodged within 90 days of the original decision. This must be submitted in writing by the Scheme Owner and include:

- A clear statement of the decision being appealed.
- The specific grounds for appeal.
- Any supporting documentation.
- A £500 appeal fee (refundable at the discretion of the Appeals Committee).

4.8.4 THE APPEALS PROCESS

Once a formal appeal is received, the IMechE will acknowledge it within five working days. The Chair of the Qualifications and Membership Board (QMB) will then determine whether there is a prima facie case for appeal. If so, an independent Appeals Committee will be convened.

The Appeals Committee is composed of individuals with no prior involvement in the original decision. It typically includes:

- Two members of the QMB (one acting as Chair),
- A representative from the Professional Review Committee,
- A Member or Fellow of the IMechE,
- An external representative from another professional engineering body.

The committee will meet within six weeks of the appeal being accepted. The organisation may send up to two representatives to present their case. The original accreditation panel may also be invited to provide context.

Following the meeting, the Appeals Committee will decide whether the case should be referred back to the PDSC for reconsideration. The PDSC will then review the appeal findings and either uphold or revise its original decision.

In rare cases, if the QMB Chair believes the PDSC has not adequately addressed the Appeals Committee's recommendations, the matter may be escalated to the full QMB for a final decision.

4.8.5 FINAL OUTCOME AND CONFIDENTIALITY

The organisation will be informed of the final outcome in writing within five working days of the decision. There is no further right of appeal within the IMechE. All parties involved in the process are expected to maintain strict confidentiality throughout.

4.9 Promoting Accredited Schemes

The IMechE offers organisations the opportunity to increase the visibility of their accredited MPDS. With consent, the Institution may:

- Display the scheme name, company name, and logo (hyperlinked) on the IMechE website.

- Feature the scheme in promotional activities and case studies.

This initiative is designed to celebrate best practice and strengthen engagement between industry and the engineering profession. Organisations interested in participating should contact the IPD team at ipd@imeche.org for further information.

5 DE REGISTRATION AND SCHEME ADMINISTRATION

Note: Scheme registration is covered in [Section 4.2](#). This section focuses on individual DE registration and scheme operations.

5.1 The Foundation of a Professional Journey

The registration process marks the formal beginning of a DE's journey through the MPDS. It is the point at which intention becomes commitment – where a DE steps into a structured, supported pathway toward professional registration.

But registration is not merely a formality. It is a carefully managed process that ensures each DE is appropriately prepared, supported, and aligned with the expectations of the IMechE. At the heart of this process is the SA, whose role is to orchestrate the many moving parts that make MPDS work.

5.2 The Role of the SA

The SA is the scheme's operational anchor. While mentors guide development and Scheme Owners provide strategic oversight, it is the SA who ensures that the scheme functions day to day. They are the first point of contact for new DEs, the guardian of scheme records, and the liaison between the organisation and the IMechE.

From the moment a DE is recruited, the SA is involved – checking membership status, verifying academic qualifications, assigning mentors, and ensuring that all documentation is complete and compliant. Their attention to detail and understanding of the MPDS framework are essential to the scheme's credibility and success.

5.3 Registering a DE

The registration process marks the formal start of a DE's participation in the MPDS. Registration ensures that the DE is eligible to use MPDS Tools and that appropriate mentoring, reporting, and monitoring arrangements are in place.

MPDS is operated by the IMechE and is primarily intended for DEs who hold IMechE membership. In some circumstances, DEs who are members of another recognised PEI may also be registered on MPDS, subject to verification of membership equivalence.

5.3.1 REGISTRATION ELIGIBILITY AND MEMBERSHIP CATEGORY

To be registered on MPDS, a DE must:

- hold an appropriate category of membership with the Institution, or an approved equivalent with another recognised Professional Engineering Institution (PEI); and
- be employed by, or placed within, an organisation operating an accredited MPDS.

Meeting these conditions confirms eligibility for participation in MPDS.

Some Developing Engineers may be required to undertake Further Learning to satisfy academic requirements for professional registration. This does not affect eligibility to participate in MPDS. Further guidance is provided in [Section 5.8.1](#).

5.3.2 PERMITTED REGISTRATION ROUTES

The following membership categories may be used for participation in MPDS, subject to scheme eligibility:

- **Associate Member (AMIMechE or equivalent)**
The standard route for most DEs joining MPDS, including graduate entrants. *Paying Affiliate members who meet the eligibility criteria for MPDS are normally treated in line with Associate Members for MPDS administration purposes.*
- **Engineering Technician (EngTech)**
May be registered on MPDS where appropriate and is generally treated in line with Associate Members for administrative purposes.
- **Affiliate membership categories**
May be used where appropriate, including for DEs undertaking Degree Apprenticeships or industrial placements.
- **Membership of another recognised Professional Engineering Institution (PEI)**
Accepted subject to verification of equivalence.

5.3.3 STUDENTS UNDERTAKING INDUSTRIAL PLACEMENTS OR YEARS IN INDUSTRY

Students undertaking an industrial placement or year in industry may participate in MPDS **only where their host organisation operates an accredited MPDS**, and for one reporting year only.

This route should not be confused with the [Academic Professional Placement \(APP\) scheme](#), which applies only to higher education institutions approved to operate APP schemes. Universities or placement providers should refer to the separate APP guidance or contact the Institution for advice.

5.3.4 REGISTRATION PROCESS

Once membership eligibility is confirmed, the DE completes the MPDS Registration Form. This form captures key information including academic background, employment details, mentor assignment, and any claims for previous experience.

The SA reviews the form, checks that the mentor is professionally registered, and ensures that the scheme is accredited or registered with the IMechE. Once complete, the form should be sent to mpds@imeche.org.

Only when all conditions are met is the DE marked as 'active' on the MPDS system and granted access to the MPDS Tools. If any information is missing or unclear, the registration is held until resolved. This careful gatekeeping ensures that every DE enters the scheme with the right support and structure in place.

5.3.5 EFFECTIVE START DATE OF MPDS PARTICIPATION

To allow for administrative processing, an MPDS start date may be backdated by up to three months from the date a completed MPDS registration form is submitted.

However:

- An MPDS start date **must not predate the date on which the MPDS scheme became active with the Institution**, including where a scheme is returning and treated as new following a period of lapse.
- MPDS participation cannot be applied retrospectively to periods where no active, registered MPDS existed with the IMechE.

Where a DE has accrued relevant engineering experience prior to MPDS registration or prior to scheme activation, this experience should be considered through the **approved previous experience process** at the point of registration, subject to mentor assessment and within published limits.

It is the responsibility of the sponsoring organisation to:

- ensure timely registration or re-registration of their MPDS;
- register DEs promptly on commencement of employment; and
- submit any previous experience claims accurately and at the appropriate stage.

Delays in scheme administration, registration, or payment of fees do not provide grounds for retrospective adjustment of MPDS start dates beyond the limits defined above.

5.3.6 ONGOING RESPONSIBILITY AND OVERSIGHT

Responsibility for correct registration rests with the sponsoring organisation and the DE.

The sponsoring organisation determines how an individual is being developed within the business – for example, through a graduate scheme, a Degree Apprenticeship, or a structured industrial placement. MPDS registration must reflect this development approach.

Scheme Owners and Scheme Administrators are responsible for ensuring that:

- the DE's MPDS user type accurately reflects how their competence is being developed by the organisation; and
- the individual is administered in MPDS in a way that aligns with that development route.

The Institution's internal MPDS support team applies registration and reporting rules based on the recorded MPDS user type. Where a DE's development route changes, it is the responsibility of the sponsoring organisation to notify the Institution so records can be updated accordingly.

Further guidance on DEs undertaking Degree Apprenticeships, including how extended development periods interact with MPDS, is provided in [Section 2.4.7](#).

Guidance on suspension, reinstatement, and transfer arrangements is provided in [Section 5.7](#).

Where a DE is based at a site other than the primary location for a period exceeding three months, this must be reflected in the scheme record.

This applies to all locations, including those within the UK and those based internationally. The sponsoring organisation must ensure that the Institution is notified of such arrangements and that the relevant site details, including full address information, are recorded.

Where applicable, the organisation must also ensure that the required Multi-Site Scheme Questionnaire is completed to confirm that appropriate mentoring, supervision, and development arrangements are in place at the additional location.

5.4 Supporting Mentors and Maintaining Standards

Mentors are the cornerstone of MPDS, and the SA plays a key role in supporting them. This includes verifying their professional registration status, ensuring they are trained and recorded in the system, and monitoring their ongoing eligibility.

If a mentor's registration lapses, the SA must act swiftly by suspending their access to MPDS Tools and advising affected DEs to find a new mentor. In larger schemes, the SA may also coordinate the use of DMs, ensuring that support remains consistent and accessible.

The SA is also responsible for maintaining accurate records of mentor training, ensuring that all mentors complete initial training and refresher sessions every three years. These records are essential for accreditation and demonstrate the organisation's commitment to quality mentoring.

5.5 Monitoring Progress and Reporting

One of the SA's most important responsibilities is to monitor the progress of DEs through the reporting process. This includes tracking the submission and approval of quarterly reports and annual assessments, following up on delays, and ensuring that reporting aligns with the DE's registration date and any approved previous experience.

The reporting schedule is structured but flexible. In Years 1 and 2, DEs are expected to submit three quarterly reports and one annual assessment. In Years 3 and 4, the requirement is reduced to one quarterly report (typically Q2) and one annual assessment, though additional reports are encouraged if new competences are being developed.

The SA ensures that reports are submitted on time, that mentors provide timely feedback, and that the overall reporting rhythm of the scheme is maintained. This consistency is not only essential for the DE's development – it is also a key indicator of scheme health during accreditation visits. See [Appendix 8.2.7](#) for the *MPDS Administration Checklist*.

5.6 Managing Previous Experience

Many DEs enter MPDS with relevant experience gained through placements, internships, or prior employment. The scheme allows for this experience to be recognised up to 78 weeks for those pursuing CEng registration, and 52 weeks for those pursuing IEng registration. See [Appendix 8.2.2](#) for detailed guidance on previous experience claims.

The SA plays a critical role in managing these claims. Working with the mentor, they ensure that claims are submitted at the point of registration, supported by evidence, and appropriately recorded in the DE's reporting calendar. Where a DE or mentor believes that a previous experience submission is incorrect or requires amendment, they should contact mpds@imeche.org for guidance and support.

This process ensures that DEs are not disadvantaged by their prior experience, while maintaining the integrity and structure of the scheme.

Reminder

*Claims for previous experience **must** be submitted at the point of registration.*

5.7 Suspension, Termination, and Transfers

Life is not always linear, and neither is professional development. DEs may need to pause their journey for a variety of reasons – parental leave, sabbaticals, career changes, or personal circumstances. The SA is responsible for managing these transitions with care and clarity.

Clear processes for suspension, termination, and transfer help ensure that MPDS records remain accurate, that eligibility requirements are met, and that DEs are supported appropriately throughout their development.

5.7.1 SUSPENSION

Suspension is used where a DE is temporarily unable to continue active participation in MPDS, or where participation needs to be paused to maintain alignment with scheme requirements.

Suspension may occur for a range of reasons, including:

- extended absence from employment (for example, parental leave or long-term illness);
- leaving an organisation operating an accredited MPDS;
- prolonged gaps in reporting or engagement; or
- where eligibility to remain active on MPDS requires clarification or correction.

Suspension does not represent an assessment of competence or performance, and development already recorded within MPDS Tools remains valid.

5.7.2 ADMINISTRATIVE SUSPENSION RELATED TO MPDS USER TYPE

MPDS participation is administered in accordance with the DE's recorded MPDS user type.

DEs recorded as student users may complete one reporting year and may remain active on MPDS for a maximum of 18 months from their MPDS start date. This period allows sufficient time for the relevant submissions and annual assessment to be completed.

Where a student user remains active beyond 18 months, their MPDS participation will be suspended on an administrative basis. If the individual is continuing through a different development route, the sponsoring organisation must notify the Institution so that the recorded MPDS user type can be reviewed and updated.

Administrative suspension does not represent an assessment of competence or performance, and development already recorded within MPDS Tools remains valid.

5.7.3 REINSTATEMENT FOLLOWING SUSPENSION

Where the reason for suspension has been resolved, a DE may be reinstated to active participation in MPDS.

Where suspension relates to the DE's recorded MPDS user type, reinstatement may be considered once the sponsoring organisation has confirmed the correct development route and the MPDS record has been updated accordingly.

Where possible, reinstatement preserves the DE's existing MPDS record and reporting history, allowing development to continue without unnecessary duplication.

5.7.4 TERMINATION

Termination is used where participation in MPDS has ended and is not expected to resume. This may occur where a DE:

- leaves the engineering profession;
- decides not to continue toward professional registration; or
- does not seek reinstatement following a prolonged period of suspension.

Termination formally closes the MPDS record, although historical information may be retained for reference purposes.

5.7.5 TRANSFERS

Where a DE changes employer, their MPDS record may be transferred provided the new employer operates an accredited MPDS.

If the new employer does not operate an accredited scheme, the DE may be supported through an alternative route, such as the Supported Registration Scheme (SRS).

Transfers should be managed by the relevant SAs to ensure continuity, clarity, and accuracy of records.

5.8 Completion and Professional Registration

The culmination of the MPDS journey is professional registration. Once a DE has completed their final annual assessment and achieved the required competence levels, they are encouraged to apply for registration within six months. Applications submitted after 18 months may require additional evidence or an extended final report.

The SA supports this transition by ensuring that all records are complete, accurate, and up to date. This includes confirming that:

- All reports and assessments have been submitted and approved
- Competence scores meet the requirements for registration
- Any outstanding issues have been resolved

The SA may also assist the DE in preparing their application, gathering supporting documents, and navigating the final steps of the process. Their support ensures that the DE's journey ends as it began – with structure, clarity, and confidence.

5.8.1 FURTHER LEARNING AND ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS

Professional registration requires both competence (demonstrated through MPDS) and appropriate academic qualifications, as defined by the Engineering Council.

Where a DE does not fully meet the academic requirements for their intended registration category, Further Learning may be required.

[Further Learning](#) is assessed as part of the professional registration process and is not a prerequisite for joining or completing MPDS. DEs may therefore complete MPDS and still be required to demonstrate additional academic learning before registration can be awarded.

Further Learning may be achieved through a combination of formal education and work-based learning.

DEs are not required to seek formal assessment of academic eligibility during MPDS participation. If there are specific concerns regarding academic qualifications for registration, advice may be sought from the Membership team at membership@imeche.org.

6 REPORTING AND ASSESSMENT

6.1 The Role of Reporting in MPDS

At the heart of the MPDS lies a robust and transparent reporting and assessment process. This process is designed not only to track the DE's progress but also to ensure that their development is aligned with the UK-SPEC. Reporting is not a bureaucratic exercise; it is a reflective and developmental tool that enables DEs to demonstrate their growing competence, receive feedback, and plan their next steps.

The reporting process is built around two core submission types: Quarterly Reports and Annual Assessments. These are supported by optional submissions – Plans and Evidence – which add depth and structure to the DE's development record. All submissions are made through the IMechE's Career Developer platform, which provides a secure and accessible environment for managing professional development.

6.1.1 USE OF AI TOOLS IN MPDS SUBMISSIONS

The Institution does not prohibit the use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the preparation of MPDS submissions.

The use of AI tools should be in keeping with the sponsoring organisation's policies on AI and digital tools. Employers may choose to develop their own internal guidance on the appropriate use of AI in this context.

Regardless of how a submission is prepared (including development plans, quarterly reports, and annual assessments), DEs must be able to clearly demonstrate that they meet the UK-SPEC competence requirements at the PRI. The competence, understanding, and experience presented must be genuine, attributable to the individual, and capable of being evidenced and discussed.

AI tools (for example, text-generation or editing tools) may be used as **support mechanisms**, such as to assist with structure, clarity, or language, provided that:

- the final submission is a truthful and accurate reflection of the DE's own work and competence;
- the DE fully understands and can evidence everything included; and
- there is no misrepresentation of authorship, experience, or responsibility.

There is a recognised risk that AI-assisted content may introduce exaggeration or inaccuracies. DEs are therefore fully accountable for the content of their submissions. Mentors must also be satisfied that submissions are a true and accurate reflection of the DE's development.

A brief acknowledgement of AI assistance may demonstrate responsible and transparent use of such tools. This is not mandated by the Institution but may be appropriate where required by an organisation's own policies.

In summary, a reasonable and ethical approach is that:

- AI may be used as a support tool, not as a substitute for competence;
- submissions must remain authentic, accurate, and defensible; and
- DEs must be able to stand behind and evidence all content.

Maintaining integrity, understanding, and evidence remains essential to the MPDS process.

6.2 Quarterly Reports

Quarterly Reports are the primary mechanism through which DEs document their development. Each report provides a concise summary (typically 200–500 words) of the DE’s activities, learning outcomes, and progress against UK-SPEC competences during the quarter. Reports should be written in the first person and reflect on both achievements and challenges, including lessons learned from mistakes or setbacks. See [Appendix 8.2.3](#) for toolkit guidance on writing and submitting reports.

The purpose of the Quarterly Report is twofold: to provide a record of development and to facilitate a structured conversation between the DE and their mentor. Reports must be submitted within two months of the end of the quarter and must be reviewed and approved by the mentor within that timeframe. Timely submission and review are essential, as they demonstrate that the DE is being actively monitored and supported.

Reminder

Quarterly Reports must be submitted within 2 months of the end of each quarter. Mentors should review and approve them within the same timeframe to maintain scheme compliance.

In Years 1 and 2 of the scheme, DEs are required to submit three Quarterly Reports per year (Q1, Q2, and Q3), followed by an Annual Assessment in Q4. In Years 3 and 4, the requirement is reduced to one mandatory Quarterly Report in Q2 and an Annual Assessment in Q4, although DEs are encouraged to continue submitting reports in Q1 and Q3 if they are actively developing new competences.

MPDS REPORTING SCHEDULE BY YEAR AND QUARTER

Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Registration Pathway
1	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
2	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
3	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
4	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	CEng

REPORTING BY DEGREE APPRENTICES

The reporting structure for Degree Apprentices follows the same principles as standard MPDS reporting but extends over a longer development period. The expected reporting pattern is shown below.

This extended structure accommodates maximum reporting durations of six years for IEng and eight years for CEng, while maintaining consistent reporting expectations. Degree Apprentices may complete earlier where the required competence has been demonstrated.

Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Registration Pathway
1	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
2	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
3	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
4	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
5	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
6	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	IEng & CEng
7	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	CEng
8	Optional	Mandatory	Optional	Annual Assessment	CEng

6.3 Annual Assessments

The Annual Assessment is a comprehensive review of the DE's development over the course of the year. It includes a summary of activities, a self-evaluation by the DE, and a formal assessment by the mentor. The mentor scores the DE's performance against each of the five UK-SPEC competence areas, using a four-level scale:

- Level 1: Aware
- Level 2: Familiar
- Level 3: Skilled
- Level 4: Expert

To be considered ready for professional registration, a DE must achieve at least three competences at Level 3 and two at Level 2. These scores are not arbitrary; they reflect the mentor's professional judgement of the DE's ability to operate independently and effectively in a professional engineering context.

The Annual Assessment must be submitted and approved within two months of the end of the reporting year. It is a critical milestone in the DE's journey and is reviewed during accreditation visits to assess the health and effectiveness of the scheme.

6.4 Optional Submissions: Plans and Evidence

While not mandatory, Plans and Evidence submissions are strongly encouraged, particularly in the later stages of the scheme. These tools provide additional structure and clarity to the DE's development and can significantly enhance the quality of Quarterly Reports and Annual Assessments.

A Plan is a forward-looking document that outlines the DE's objectives for the upcoming quarter, including the competences they intend to develop. It helps the DE and mentor align expectations and focus development efforts. Plans are especially useful in Years 3 and 4, when development becomes more targeted and strategic.

Evidence submissions allow DEs to upload supporting documentation – such as project reports, presentations, or training certificates – that demonstrate their competence. Each piece of evidence is linked to specific UK-SPEC competences and can be referenced in Quarterly Reports and Annual Assessments. DEs may submit up to eight pieces of evidence per quarter.

It is important to note that sensitive or confidential information should not be uploaded. Instead, DEs should provide summaries or anonymised versions of documents, or indicate where the original can be found within the company.

Reminder

Plans and Evidence submissions are optional but highly recommended. They help structure development and strengthen the DE's case for registration.

6.5 Mentor Review and Feedback

Mentors play a central role in the reporting and assessment process. They are responsible for reviewing all submissions, providing constructive feedback, and scoring competences. Feedback should be timely, specific, and developmental, helping the DE understand their strengths and areas for improvement, while also providing appropriate challenge and clear guidance on future development priorities. High-quality feedback helps DEs identify competence gaps, plan future activities, and maintain momentum towards professional registration.

Mentors must also ensure that their own training is up to date and that they are familiar with the UK-SPEC framework and the MPDS Tools. Their comments and scores support the DE in preparing their submission and demonstrating competence.

6.6 Monitoring and Quality Assurance

The reporting and assessment process is subject to regular monitoring by the SA and IMechE. During accreditation and reaccreditation visits, assessors will review a sample of DE records to evaluate the quality and timeliness of submissions, the consistency of mentor feedback, and the overall effectiveness of the scheme.

Schemes that demonstrate strong reporting practices – characterised by timely submissions, thoughtful reflections, and meaningful feedback – are more likely to receive full accreditation and fewer conditions. Conversely, schemes with poor reporting compliance may be subject to additional scrutiny or monitoring visits.

7 GOOD PRACTICE

7.1 Purpose of Sharing Good Practice

The MPDS is intentionally flexible, enabling organisations to tailor its implementation to their unique structure, culture, and engineering disciplines. Within this flexibility lies a powerful opportunity: to learn from others. Sharing good practice is a cornerstone of continuous improvement and a vital part of the MPDS community. It enables organisations to benchmark their schemes, adopt innovative approaches, and ensure that DEs receive the best possible support.

Accrediting bodies regularly collect and share examples of commendable practices observed during scheme reviews. These examples span a wide range of themes – from mentoring and reporting to scheme structure, outreach, and cultural engagement – and serve as inspiration for both new and established schemes.

7.2 Themes in Good Practice

Across a wide range of accredited schemes, several recurring themes have emerged that illustrate what “good” looks like in MPDS delivery:

7.2.1 MENTORING EXCELLENCE

- Many schemes ensure mentors are not line managers, preserving objectivity and fostering trust.
- Mentor forums are used to calibrate scoring, share feedback strategies, and support peer learning.
- Recognition of mentoring contributions – such as ‘thank you’ letters or inclusion in performance reviews – helps reinforce the value of the role.

7.2.2 STRUCTURED DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

- Some organisations require DEs to prepare detailed placement plans and concise summaries of expected learning outcomes.
- DE representation on Steering Committees is a growing trend, giving DEs a voice in scheme governance.
- Study groups and formal mentor meetings are used to support structured development and peer collaboration.

7.2.3 REPORTING AND COMPETENCE TRACKING

- Tools such as KPI spreadsheets and custom tracking charts are used to monitor the timeliness and quality of DE reports.
- Some schemes map internal competencies to UK-SPEC, helping DEs identify development opportunities and align their progress with professional standards.

7.2.4 OUTREACH AND STEM ENGAGEMENT

- STEM outreach is widely encouraged, with DEs often participating in school visits, community events, and ambassadorial roles.
- Some schemes set minimum expectations for STEM engagement and provide CPD budgets to support participation.
- Community involvement is integrated into the DE experience, promoting broader professional development.

7.2.5 RECOGNITION AND CELEBRATION

- Ceremonies, celebratory events, and public acknowledgements are used to mark professional registration milestones.
- Financial incentives and internal communications are employed to recognise DE and mentor achievements.

7.2.6 SCHEME MANAGEMENT AND CULTURE

- Many schemes embed MPDS into strategic planning and performance frameworks, ensuring alignment with organisational goals.
- Dashboards and digital tools are used to manage placements and track development opportunities.
- Forums and committees empower DEs to shape their development environment and contribute to continuous improvement.

Tip

During accreditation visits, panels often ask how your scheme reflects sector-wide good practice. Be ready to share examples of mentoring forums, DE involvement in governance, or STEM outreach.

7.2.7 VISIBILITY

- With consent, the IMechE may showcase accredited schemes through its website and promotional activities, helping to raise awareness and share success stories.

For more information, please contact ipd@imeche.org.

7.3 Why Good Practice Matters

Good practice is not just about innovation – it's about impact. Schemes that adopt and adapt proven approaches tend to see:

- Higher DE engagement and retention
- Improved reporting quality and timeliness
- Greater mentor satisfaction and consistency

- Stronger alignment with UK-SPEC
- More successful professional registration outcomes

By learning from others, schemes can avoid common pitfalls, accelerate maturity, and foster a culture of excellence in professional development.

7.4 How to Use These Examples

Organisations are encouraged to reflect on the examples listed above and consider:

- Which practices could be adopted or adapted?
- What gaps exist in your current scheme?
- How can DEs and mentors be involved in shaping improvements?

During accreditation visits, panels may ask how your scheme reflects or responds to sector-wide good practice. Demonstrating awareness and action in this area is a positive indicator of scheme health and commitment.

8 APPENDICES

8.1 Purpose of the Appendices

The appendices serve as a practical toolkit for all stakeholders involved in the MPDS. They provide templates, checklists, reference materials, and exemplar documents that support the implementation, monitoring, and continuous improvement of MPDS schemes. These resources are designed to complement the guidance provided in the main body of this document and to offer hands-on support for day-to-day scheme management.

Whether you are a SA setting up a new scheme, a mentor reviewing a DE's progress, or a DE preparing for professional registration, the appendices offer structured tools to help you succeed.

8.2 Contents of the Appendices

The following appendices are recommended for inclusion in any MPDS Scheme Handbook or internal documentation. They may be adapted to suit the specific needs of your organisation, but the core principles should remain consistent with IMechE expectations.

8.2.1 [EXEMPLAR SCHEME HANDBOOK](#)

A model document that outlines the structure, roles, responsibilities, and processes of a well-run MPDS. It includes guidance on induction, placements, mentoring, reporting, and scheme governance. This can be used as a template or benchmark for developing your own scheme documentation.

8.2.2 [PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE CLAIM GUIDELINES](#)

Detailed guidance for mentors and DEs on how to assess and approve claims for previous experience. This includes criteria for eligibility, evidence requirements, and the impact on reporting timelines. It ensures consistency and fairness in recognising prior learning.

8.2.3 MPDS TOOLKIT FOR [DES](#) AND [MENTORS](#)

A summary of the official IMechE toolkits, which provide step-by-step instructions for using MPDS Tools. These toolkits cover registration, reporting, scoring, and submission processes, and are essential reading for all new DEs and mentors.

8.2.4 [COMPETENCE DEVELOPMENT TEMPLATE](#)

A matrix that maps UK-SPEC competences against typical work activities. It helps DEs and mentors plan development, identify gaps, and track progress. This tool is particularly useful during placement planning and quarterly reviews.

8.2.5 [EXAMPLE STEERING COMMITTEE AGENDA](#)

A sample agenda for quarterly or annual Steering Committee meetings. It includes suggested discussion points such as DE progress, mentor training, scheme KPIs, and feedback from accreditation visits. This promotes structured governance and accountability.

8.2.6 [SA ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES](#)

A detailed description of the SA's duties, including registration, reporting oversight, mentor pairing, and visit coordination.

8.2.7 [MPDS ADMINISTRATION CHECKLIST](#)

A practical checklist for SAs to ensure that all key tasks are completed on time. This includes DE registration, mentor assignment, report tracking, and preparation for accreditation visits. It supports compliance and reduces the risk of oversight.

8.2.8 [MULTI-SITE QUESTIONNAIRE](#)

A structured questionnaire used to document and confirm arrangements for DEs operating outside the primary MPDS site. It captures details of mentoring, supervision, reporting lines, and development opportunities at each additional location, ensuring alignment with MPDS requirements. This tool supports consistency of training quality across sites and is particularly useful when DEs are based at alternative or international locations for extended periods.

8.3 Using the Appendices

These appendices are intended to be living documents. Organisations are encouraged to:

- Review and update them annually
- Tailor them to reflect internal processes and terminology
- Share them with all stakeholders during induction and training
- Use them as evidence of good practice during accreditation visits

Where possible, appendices should be integrated into the company's quality management system (e.g. ISO 9001) to ensure consistency and traceability.

9 CONTACT INFORMATION

For support with MPDS, please use the following contact points depending on the nature of your enquiry:

ACCREDITATION

For MPDS Accreditation and Scheme Registration (including new applications, reaccreditation, and visit coordination):

Email: ipd@imeche.org

Phone: +44 (0)20 7304 6855

Web: www.imeche.org/mpdschemes

MPDS ADMINISTRATION

For General MPDS Administration Support (including DE registration, reporting issues, and Career Developer queries):

Email: mpds@imeche.org

Phone: +44 (0)20 7304 6969

Web: www.imeche.org/mpds

10 GLOSSARY

10.1 Terms

This glossary provides definitions and explanations of key terms used throughout this MPDS handbook. It is intended to support clarity and consistency for all stakeholders, including DEs, mentors, SAs, and accreditation assessors.

ACCREDITATION

The formal recognition by the IMechE that a company's MPDS meets the standards required to support DEs in achieving professional registration. Accreditation is typically granted for three years and is subject to periodic review.

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT

A compulsory submission completed by the DE and reviewed by the mentor at the end of each reporting year. It includes a summary of development activities, a self-evaluation, and competence scoring against UK-SPEC.

CAREER DEVELOPER

IMechE's online platform for managing professional development. It is used by DEs to submit reports and assessments, and by mentors and SAs to review progress and maintain records.

CHARTERED ENGINEER (CENG)

A professional registration status awarded by the Engineering Council to engineers who demonstrate advanced competence and commitment. Typically achieved after four years on MPDS.

COMPETENCE DEVELOPMENT TEMPLATE

A matrix that maps UK-SPEC competences against typical work activities. Used by DEs and mentors to plan development, identify gaps, and track progress.

DELEGATE MENTOR (DM)

An individual who supports the DE and their registered mentor, particularly when regular contact is difficult. DMs are not required to be professionally registered but must understand UK-SPEC. They cannot approve submissions.

DEVELOPING ENGINEER (DE)

An individual enrolled on MPDS and working toward professional registration. DEs typically enter MPDS following graduation but may also include Degree Apprentices and students undertaking industrial placements within organisations operating an accredited MPDS.

ENGINEERING COUNCIL

The UK regulatory body for the engineering profession. It sets the standards for professional registration through UK-SPEC.

FURTHER LEARNING

[Further Learning](#) is the process through which applicants demonstrate the additional knowledge and understanding required to meet the academic benchmark for the grade of professional registration they are seeking.

It is a requirement relating to academic eligibility and should not be confused with Continuing Professional Development (CPD).

Where Further Learning is required, applicants are encouraged to plan and undertake it alongside their professional development activities, including participation in MPDS where applicable, to support timely achievement of both academic and competence requirements.

Further Learning is assessed as part of the professional registration process and is separate from MPDS participation. DEs are not required to seek formal assessment of academic eligibility during MPDS but may contact membership@imeche.org if they have specific concerns regarding their academic qualifications for registration.

INCORPORATED ENGINEER (IENG)

A professional registration status awarded by the Engineering Council to engineers who demonstrate competence in maintaining and managing applications of current and developing technology. Typically achieved after three years on MPDS.

MENTOR

A professionally registered engineer (CEng or IEng) who guides the DE through MPDS. Mentors provide feedback, assess competence, and support the DE's progression toward registration.

MONITORED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME (MPDS)

IMechE's structured framework for supporting DEs in achieving professional registration. MPDS integrates work-based learning, mentoring, and competence-based assessment aligned to UK-SPEC. It supports DEs, including graduate entrants, Degree Apprentices, and students undertaking industrial placements within organisations operating an accredited MPDS.

MPDS TOOLS

The suite of online tools within Career Developer used to manage MPDS submissions, reviews, and records.

MULTI-SITE QUESTIONNAIRE

A formal document used to capture and confirm the arrangements in place for DEs based at locations other than the primary MPDS site.

The questionnaire ensures that appropriate mentoring, supervision, reporting, and development opportunities are in place at each additional location, and that these arrangements are consistent with the requirements of the accredited MPDS.

Completion of the Multi-site Questionnaire may be required where DEs are based at alternative or international locations for extended periods.

PLAN

An optional submission outlining the DE's objectives and intended competences for a given quarter. Plans help structure development and align expectations between DEs and mentors.

PROFESSIONAL REVIEW INTERVIEW (PRI)

The final stage of the professional registration process. DEs present their development record and demonstrate competence to a panel of assessors.

QUARTERLY REPORT

A compulsory submission completed by the DE during specified reporting quarters. It summarises development activities and progress against UK-SPEC. Reporting frequency varies according to the DE's development pathway and stage of MPDS, as set out in Section 6.2. Q4 is covered by the Annual Assessment.

REACCREDITATION

The process by which an existing MPDS scheme is reviewed and renewed. Typically occurs every three years and may be conducted virtually or in person.

SCHEME ADMINISTRATOR (SA)

The individual responsible for managing the day-to-day operation of MPDS within a company. Duties include registration, reporting oversight, mentor pairing, and coordination of accreditation visits.

STEERING COMMITTEE

A governance body that oversees the MPDS scheme within an organisation. It typically includes the SO, SA, mentors, and representatives from HR and line management.

UK STANDARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING COMPETENCE AND COMMITMENT (UK-SPEC)

The framework developed by the Engineering Council that defines the competences required for professional registration as CEng or IEng.

10.2 Acronyms

AI: Artificial Intelligence

CEng: Chartered Engineer

CPD: Continuing Professional Development
DE: Developing Engineer
DM: Delegate Mentor
IEng: Incorporated Engineer
IMechE: Institution of Mechanical Engineers
IPD: Initial Professional Development
KPIs: Key Performance Indicators
ILO: Industry Liaison Officer
LMS: Learning Management System
MPDS: Monitored Professional Development Scheme
PEI: Professional Engineering Institution
PDSC: Professional Development Standards Committee
PRI: Professional Review Interview
QMB: Qualifications and Membership Board
SA: Scheme Administrator
STEM: Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics
UK-SPEC: UK Standard for Professional Engineering Competence

-  /imeche
-  /imeche
-  /imecheuk
-  /imecheglobal
-  /institution-of-mechanical-engineers

**Institution of
Mechanical Engineers**

1 Birdcage Walk
Westminster
London SW1H 9JJ

+ 44 (0)20 7222 7899
enquiries@imeche.org
imeche.org